

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

DIGITAL SIN, INC.)
21345 Lassen Street)
Chatsworth, CA 91311)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
DOES 1 – 145)
)
Defendants.)

Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-00584

COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Digital Sin, Inc. (also referred to as Digital Sin or “Plaintiff”) for its Complaint against Defendants Doe 1 through Doe 145 (collectively referred to as “Defendants”), alleges as set forth below.

NATURE OF THE CLAIM, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 etc. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 US.C. §101 *et seq.*, 28 US.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 US.C. § 1338(a) (copyright).

2. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a). Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to the Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief, each Defendant may be found in this District and/or a substantial part of the alleged events occurred and/or have a significant effect within this District.

3. On information and belief, personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because each Defendant, without consent or permission of Plaintiff as the exclusive rights owner, intentionally and willfully distributed, and offered to distribute over the Internet, copyrighted works for which Plaintiff has exclusive rights. In addition, each Defendant contracted with an Internet Service Provider (ISP) found in this District to provide each Defendant with access to the Internet. Therefore, venue in this Court is proper in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a).

4. To ensure that venue and jurisdiction are proper, Plaintiff, through its agents and representatives, has undertaken efforts to only identify alleged infringers who are within or near the geographic location of the Court. *See attached Declaration of Jon Nicolini, Exhibit B.*

JOINDER

5. Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 20(a)(2), the Defendants have been properly joined, as set forth in detail below and in Exhibit B, because Plaintiff alleges that all Defendants have traded (uploaded and downloaded) the exact same file of the copyrighted works in related transactions through torrent software. The Defendants were identified through the use of forensic software. Plaintiff, through its agents and representatives, has taken steps to confirm that all Defendants have in fact engaged in a series of related transactions or occurrences. All Defendants identified in Exhibit A (i) have traded exactly the same file of the copyrighted work as shown by the identical hash mark; (ii) have traded (simultaneously uploaded and downloaded) the exact same file as is the nature of torrent software; and (iii) the alleged events occurred within a limited period of time. *See attached Declaration of Jon Nicolini, Exhibit B.*

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Plaintiff Digital Sin, Inc., is a corporation duly formed and existing under the laws of California, and has a principal place of business at 21345 Lassen Street, Chatsworth, CA 91311.

7. The true names of Defendants are unknown to the Plaintiff at this time. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by the Internet Protocol (“IP”) address assigned to that Defendant by his, her or its Internet Service Provider on the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed. The IP address of each Defendant, together with the date and time at which his, her or its infringing activity was observed and the hash value of the file, is included on **Exhibit A** which is attached hereto. The technology used to identify each Defendant is explained in **Exhibit B**. On information and belief, Plaintiff states that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendants’ true names and addresses and will permit Plaintiff to amend this Complaint to state the same.

8. The Motion Picture “My Little Panties: #2” (the “Motion Picture”) was produced by Digital Sin and released on November 4, 2010 . The copyright was registered in February 2011. *See information about the Motion Picture on Plaintiff’s website www.TLARAW.com and Copyright Registration.* It is offered for sale as a DVD for \$19.99 through various vendors, including www.cduniverse.com. The Motion Picture can also be purchased in other formats, such as view-on-demand.

9. The torrent protocol makes home computers with low bandwidth capable of participating in large data transfers across so-called “Peer-to-Peer” (P2P) networks. The first file-provider decides to share a file (“seed”) with a torrent network. Then other users (“peers”) within the network connect to the seed file for downloading. As additional peers request the same file,

they become part of the same network. Unlike a traditional P2P network, each new peer receives a different piece of the data from each peer who has already downloaded the file. This system of multiple pieces of data coming from peers is called a “swarm.” As a result, every downloader is also an uploader of the illegally transferred file and is simultaneously taking copyrighted material through many ISPs in numerous jurisdictions around the country.

10. Once a participant in these downloading and uploading transactions becomes a peer, the software reassembles the file and the peer can view the Motion Picture. Once a peer has downloaded the complete file, that peer becomes an additional seed because he or she continues to distribute the torrent file (here: the copyrighted work).

11. In this case, all Defendants have not only swapped the same copyrighted work, they have swapped the exact same file. The devices connected to all IP addresses identified in Exhibit A have utilized the same exact hash mark (a 40-character hexadecimal string which through cryptographic methods clearly identifies the Release, comparable to a forensic digital fingerprint) which establishes them as having taken part in the same series of transactions. All alleged infringers downloaded the exact same copyrighted work while trading in the same torrent.

12. While Defendants engaged in this downloading and/or uploading of the file, they exposed their IP address to the public. With torrent software, one can see the IP address of the various computers that one is connected to, and which are sharing files in cooperation with, one’s own computer.

13. Through the use of torrent technology, the Defendants in this case engaged in deliberate distribution of unlawful copies of the Motion Picture. Moreover, the Defendants in this case engaged in a series of related transactions, because they all downloaded the exact same

file (not just the same copyrighted work), within a limited period of time. Furthermore, because of the nature of torrent software, they engaged in a series of related transactions because in order to download a movie (or parts of it), one must permit other users to download and/or upload the file from one's own computer. Thus, the Defendants were simultaneously trading (downloading and/or uploading) the exact same file during a limited period of time.

14. By using geo-location technology, Plaintiff has attempted to ensure that the IP addresses are likely within the geographic location of the Court. The time period during which the identified illegal downloads occurred is limited to ensure commonality amongst the Defendants. The Defendants so identified downloaded the copyrighted work as part of the same series of transactions or occurrences and are thus specifically and directly related.

COUNT I

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 ET SEQ.

15. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-14, above.

16. Plaintiff is a motion picture production company. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times has been, the owner of the copyrights and/or the owner of the exclusive rights under the copyrights in the United States in the Motion Picture at issue.

17. The Motion Picture is an original work that is copyrighted under United States law. The Motion Picture is the subject of Copyright Registration No. PA0001733587/2011-02-10, and Plaintiff owns that registration. The title of the Motion Picture and its copyright registration number are included in **Exhibit C**. Thus, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory remedies of the U.S. Copyright Act.

18. Plaintiff has either published or licensed for publication all copies of the Motion Picture in compliance with the copyright laws.

19. **Exhibit A** identifies each Defendant (one Defendant per row in the table set out in **Exhibit A**) that has, without the permission or consent of the Plaintiff, reproduced and distributed to the public at least a substantial portion of the Motion Picture. That is, each Defendant listed in **Exhibit A** has, without permission or consent of Plaintiff, reproduced and distributed to the public at least a substantial portion of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

20. **Exhibit A** also sets out the Internet Protocol ("IP") address associated with each respective Defendant, the identity of the Internet Service Provider (often referred to as an "ISP") associated with the IP address, the last-observed date and time ("Timestamp") that the infringement by that Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright in the Motion Picture was observed and the software protocol used by the Defendant. It also shows the hash value of the file so traded, which shows that each Defendant traded exactly the same file.

21. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants, without the permission or consent of Plaintiff, has used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system (sometimes referred to as a "peer to peer" network or a "P2P" network) to reproduce at least one copy of the Motion Picture, and to distribute to the public, including by making available for distribution to others, copies of the Motion Picture. In doing so, each Defendant has violated, and continues to violate, Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution protected under the Copyright Act of 1976 (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.), including under 17 U.S.C. § 106(1) and (3).

22. Each Defendant has acted in cooperation with the other Defendants by agreeing to provide, and actually providing, on a P2P network an infringing reproduction of at least

substantial portions of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture, in anticipation of the other Defendants doing likewise with respect to that work and/or other works. Further in this regard, all the Defendants have engaged in a related series of transactions to engage in unlawful reproduction and distribution of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture. Each Defendant traded the exact same file.

23. Each of the Defendant's acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of Plaintiff. The technology used to identify each Defendant is explained in **Exhibit B**.

24. Plaintiff has suffered both money damages and irreparable harm as a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Motion Picture. In addition, discovery may disclose that one or more of the Defendants obtained profits as a result of such infringement.

25. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, which may include Plaintiff's damages caused by each Defendant and each Defendant's profits and/or statutory damages, and to Plaintiff's attorney fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

26. The conduct of each Defendant has caused, is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyrights and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of the copyrighted motion pictures made in violation of the Plaintiffs' copyrights.

COUNT II

CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT

27. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-26, above.

28. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times has been, the owner of the copyrights and/or the owner of the exclusive rights under the copyrights in the United States in the Motion Picture at issue.

29. Through use of torrent software and the process described above, each Defendant copied the constituent elements of the copyrighted work. Further, each Defendant traded not just the same copyrighted work, but the exact same file, as shown by the identical hash value.

30. By participating in the file swapping with the other Defendants, each Defendant induced or caused or materially contributed to the infringing conduct of the other Defendants.

31. Each Defendant knew or should have known that other torrent users (Defendants) involved in the file swapping were infringing upon Plaintiff's copyrighted work. Each Defendant directly participated in the series of uploading and downloading of the exact same file and therefore materially contributed to each other Defendant's infringing activities.

32. Each of the Defendants' contributory infringements were committed willfully within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

33. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages that were proximately caused by each of the Defendants. Plaintiff has suffered both money damages and irreparable harm as a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Motion Picture. In addition, discovery may disclose that one or more of the Defendants obtained profits as a result of such infringement.

34. The conduct of each Defendant has caused, is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyrights and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of the copyrighted motion pictures made in violation of the Plaintiffs' copyrights.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment against each Defendant as follows:

A. For a judgment that such Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Motion Picture;

B. For entry of preliminary and permanent injunctions providing that such Defendant shall be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing the Plaintiffs' rights in the Motion Picture, including without limitation by using the Internet to reproduce or copy the Motion Picture, to distribute the Motion Picture, or to make the Motion Picture available for distribution to anyone, except pursuant to a lawful license or with the express authority of Plaintiffs;

C. For entry of preliminary and permanent mandatory injunctions providing that such Defendant shall destroy all copies of the Motion Picture that Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard drive or server without Plaintiff's authorization and shall destroy all copies of the Motion Picture transferred onto any physical medium or device in Defendant's possession, custody, or control;

D. For entry of judgment that such Defendant shall pay actual damages and profits, or statutory damages, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, at the election of Plaintiff;

E. For entry of judgment that such Defendant shall pay Plaintiff's costs;

F. For entry of judgment that such Defendant shall pay Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees; and

G. For entry of judgment that Plaintiff have such other relief as justice may require and/or as otherwise deemed just and proper by this Court.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of November, 2011.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

By: /s/ Terik Hashmi
Terik Hashmi, JD, LLM
OH Bar – Supreme Court ID 0064329
FL/ND - Admitted
Transnational Law Group, LLC
429 Lenox Avenue, Suite 5C13
Miami Beach, FL 33139
Phone: (888) 731-8955
Fax: (305) 721-1685
Email:
terik.hashmi.esq@transnationallawgroup.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

By: /s/ Terik Hashmi
Terik Hashmi, JD, LLM
OH Bar – Supreme Court ID 0064329
FL/ND - Admitted
Transnational Law Group, LLC
429 Lenox Avenue, Suite 5C13
Miami Beach, FL 33139
Phone: (888) 731-8955
Fax: (305) 721-1685
Email:
terik.hashmi.esq@transnationallawgroup.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

VERIFICATION

I, Terik Hashmi, Esq., am the attorney who prepared this Complaint. I verify and declare under 28 USC § 1746 that I have read the foregoing Complaint and it is, based on my personal knowledge and information I have reviewed, true. In particular, I have taken the following steps to ensure that the Complaint and its allegations comply with all requirements:

- 1) I have personally discussed in detail the data about alleged infringers (identified by IP address) with a technical specialist at the Copyright Enforcement Group (CEG), Mr. Jon Nicolini, Vice President of Technology. Mr. Jon Nicolini explained the commonality of all identified IP addresses: (a) The devices connected to all IP addresses identified have utilized the same exact hash mark (a 40-character hexadecimal string which through cryptographic methods clearly identifies the Release - comparable to a fingerprint) which corroborates them within the same series of transactions; (b) all alleged infringers downloaded the same file of the copyrighted work while trading in the same torrent; (c) Mr. Jon Nicolini also explained that he made an effort to create a list of alleged infringers who are located within the jurisdiction of the court where the Complaint is to be filed by using geo-location technology; (d) Mr. Jon Nicolini specifically limited the time period during which the investigated alleged downloads occurred to ensure commonality amongst the alleged infringers. Thus the alleged infringers were likely within the same swarm and engaged in a series of related transactions. The identified IP addresses shared the files around the same time. Mr. Jon Nicolini explained that the alleged infringers so identified downloaded the identical file of the copyrighted work as part of the same series of transactions or occurrences, and are thus related.
- 2) I particularly inquired into the issue of the relationship among the alleged infringers by (i) researching the functioning of torrent technology, (ii) participating in a live demonstration of torrent software, and (iii) interviewing CEG personnel. Mr. Jon Nicolini explained that the alleged infringers in this case engaged in a series of related transactions, because they all downloaded the exact same file (not just the same copyrighted work), within a limited period of time. Furthermore, because of the nature of torrent software, they engaged in a series of related transactions because in order to download a movie (or parts of it), one must permit other users to download and/or upload the file from one's own computer. Thus, the Defendants were simultaneously trading (downloading and/or uploading) the exact same file during a limited period of time. While Defendants engaged in this downloading and/or uploading of the file, they exposed their IP address. With torrent software, one can see the IP address of the various computers that they are connected to, and which are sharing files in cooperation with, one's own computer.
- 3) Mr. Jon Nicolini further confirmed to me the direct digital connection and relationship among the infringers based on the torrent process and provided the following additional information: The process begins with one user accessing the Internet through an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") and intentionally making a

digital file of the work available on the Internet to the public from his or her computer. This first file is often referred to as the first "seed." The person making this seed available as the "original seeder." Persons seeking to download such a work also access the Internet through an ISP (which may or may not be the same ISP as used by the original seeder) and seek out the work on a P2P network. With the availability of the seed, other users, who are referred to as "peers," access the Internet and request the file (by searching for its title or even searching for the torrent's "hash") and engage the original seeder and/or each other in a group, sometimes referred to as a "swarm," and begin downloading the seed file. In turn, as each peer receives portions of the seed, most often that peer makes those portions available to other peers in the swarm. Therefore, each peer in the swarm is at least copying and is usually distributing, as a follow-on seeder, copyrighted material at the same time. Any BitTorrent client may be used to join a swarm. As more peers join a swarm at any one instant, they obtain the content at even greater speeds because of the increasing number of peers simultaneously offering the content as seeders themselves for unlawful distribution. As time goes on, the size of the swarm varies, yet it may endure for a long period, with some swarms enduring for 6 months to well over a year depending on the popularity of a particular motion picture. That is, each peer (i.e. member of a swarm) in a P2P network has acted and acts in cooperation with the other peers by agreeing to provide, and actually providing, an infringing reproduction of at least a substantial portion of a copyrighted work in anticipation of the other peers doing likewise with respect to that work and/or other works. Joining a P2P network is an intentional act, requiring the selection by a peer of multiple links to do so.

- 4) I also inquired into the issue of jurisdiction over the alleged infringers. Mr. Jon Nicolini and other CEG personnel explained that they make every effort to ensure that all alleged infringers have in fact engaged in a series of related transactions and can thus be properly joined in one lawsuit. Most importantly: (i) CEG has identified only alleged infringers who traded exactly the same file of the copyrighted works at issue (not just the same copyrighted work), as identified by the hash value; and (ii) CEG has limited the time period during which they searched copyright infringements; in addition, (iii) CEG has limited the geographic search to ensure as much as technically possible that the alleged infringers are in fact within the geographic area of the court. However, because of intermediary ISPs and the location of the ISPs technical facilities, the location cannot always be exactly pinpointed.
- 5) I personally conducted a random batch test of the purported locations of the IP addresses in Exhibit A to ensure that the Defendants likely reside within the jurisdiction of the Court or can be found there, or a substantial part of the events alleged occurred or had an effect within the jurisdiction of the Court. I checked the locations through the IP locators at <http://www.ip-address.org> and/or <http://www.arin.net> and/or <http://www.ipligence.com>.
- 6) I have personally participated in an information session on torrent software, conducted by Copyright Enforcement Group technical personnel, including a live demonstration of the use of torrent software. I personally saw the multiple steps that are required to log into a torrent system to trade files with one another, that each user must connect with other users and simultaneously upload and download ("trade") a

particular file for the file swapping to work, and that the users' IP addresses are exposed during the process so that one can see who is simultaneously uploading and downloading the file from one's own computer.

- 7) I personally checked that a copyright registration for the work at issue has been filed properly through the searchable database of the U.S. Copyright office at <http://copyright.gov/records/>, to ensure that the work at issue is eligible for statutory remedies under Section 412 of the Copyright Law.

Thus, I verify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements and the statements in the Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Date: November 16, 2011

/s/ Terik Hashmi
Terik Hashmi

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit A – Table of Last-Observed Infringements by Defendants of Digital Sin's Copyright in the Motion Picture "My Little Panties: #2", Copyright Reg. No. PA0001733587/2011-02-10.

Exhibit B – Technology Declaration of Mr. Jon Nicolini, explaining the technology used to identify the alleged copyright infringers

Exhibit C – Copyright registration record of the Motion Picture at issue

Exhibit A – Table of Last-Observed
Infringements by Defendants of Digital Sin's
Copyright in the Motion Picture "My Little
Panties: #2," Copyright Reg. No.
PA0001733587.

Exhibit B – Technology Declaration of Mr. Jon Nicolini, explaining the technology used to identify the alleged copyright infringers

Exhibit C – Copyright registration record of the
Motion Picture at issue

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

DIGITAL SIN, INC.)	
21345 Lassen Street)	
Chatsworth, CA 91311)	
)	Civil Action No. 4:11-cv-584
Plaintiff,)	DECLARATION OF
)	JON NICOLINI
)	
v.)	
)	
DOES 1 – 145)	
)	
Defendants.)	

I, Jon Nicolini, declare as follows:

1. I am the Vice President of Technology for Copyright Enforcement Group, LLC (“CEG”).
2. CEG’s address is 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 220, Beverly Hills, California 90211.
3. CEG is in the business of discovering infringements, and arranging for the enforcement, of the copyrights of its clients. Plaintiff in this case is a client of CEG. Based on information provided to me, I state that Plaintiff Third Degree Films, Inc. creates and distributes motion pictures, and the motion picture named in the Third Degree Films, Inc. Complaint is among the motion pictures whose copyrights are the subject of the CEG’s efforts on behalf of Plaintiff.
4. Music and motion picture piracy (i.e., the unauthorized copying and/or distribution of songs and motion pictures) has been a serious problem since at least as early as home audio and video tape cassette players became popular. The problem continued with the introduction of home CD and DVD players. Today the problem persists with the ability to store digital files of songs and motion pictures in the memory of home and/or laptop computers, and for people to distribute such files to each other over the Internet on peer-to-peer networks

(sometimes called "P2P" networks) using file sharing software applications such as BitTorrent. Articles describing aspects of music and motion picture piracy could be found, at least until recently, at these web pages, among others, on the Internet:

- (1) http://www.usvo.com/usvo_videopiracy.pdf (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 1**), and
- (2) <http://www.thefreelibrary.com/DVD+piracy+in+the+U.S.+becomes+an+industry-a0103403775> (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 2**).

5. Neither of the two major operating systems for personal computers (i.e., those developed by Microsoft Corporation and Apple, Inc.) nor any of the four most used web browsers, namely, Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome and Apple Safari, which are used by well over 90% of users in the United States, include native functionality for peer-to-peer file sharing over the Internet. (Regarding the relative popularity of browsers, see the following articles that could be found, at least until recently, at these web pages, among others, on the Internet,

<http://gs.statcounter.com/#browser-US-monthly-201006-201106-bar> (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 4**)

http://www.statowl.com/web_browser_market_share.php?1=1&timeframe=last_3&interval=month&chart_id=4&fltr_b r=&fltr_os=&fltr_se=&fltr_cn=&timeframe=last_12 (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 5**).

Other than Microsoft Internet Explorer and Apple Safari, all other browsers must be intentionally installed. Therefore, the original seeder and each of the members of the swarm (i.e., each peer) must have separately installed on their respective computers special software that allows peer-to-peer sharing of files by way of the Internet. The most popular type of peer-to-peer file sharing program utilizes the BitTorrent protocol. The seeder and members of the swarm use software known in the field as "BitTorrent clients." Among the most popular BitTorrent clients are Vuze (formerly Azureus), μ Torrent, Transmission and BitTorrent 7, although many others are used as well. In any event, the seeder and each member of the swarm (i.e., peer) must intentionally install a BitTorrent client (i.e., software application) onto his or her computer before that computer can be used to join a BitTorrent file sharing network.

6. P2P networks distribute infringing copies of motion pictures (and works in other forms such as music and books) with file sharing software such as BitTorrent as follows: The process begins with one user accessing the Internet through an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") and intentionally making a digital file of the work available on the Internet to the public from his or her computer. This first file is often referred to as the first "seed." I will refer to the person making this seed available as the "original seeder." Persons seeking to download such a work also access the Internet through an ISP (which may or may not be the same ISP as used by the original seeder) and seek out the work on a P2P network. With the availability of the seed, other users, who are referred to as "peers," access the Internet and request the file (by searching for its title or even searching for the torrent's "hash" - described below) and engage the original seeder and/or each other in a group, sometimes referred to as a "swarm," and begin downloading the seed file. In turn, as each peer receives portions of the seed, most often that peer makes those portions available to other peers in the swarm. Therefore, each peer in the swarm is at least copying and is usually distributing, as a follow-on seeder, copyrighted material at the same time. This also means that the participants engage in a series of related transactions. Of the over 20,000 infringers tracked in connection with several cases currently pending, at least 95% of the Doe defendants were uploading (i.e., distributing) illegal copies of our clients' motion pictures at the moment indicated by the Timestamp in the respective Exhibit A appended to each complaint, which is also true for this case. In P2P networks, the infringement may continue even after the original seeder has gone completely offline. Any BitTorrent client may be used to join a swarm. As more peers join a swarm at any one instant, they obtain the content at even greater speeds because of the increasing number of peers simultaneously offering the content as seeders themselves for unlawful distribution. As time goes on, the size of the swarm varies, yet it may endure for a long period, with some swarms enduring for 6 months to well over a year depending on the popularity of a particular motion picture. As a result, the original seed file becomes unlawfully duplicated multiple times by multiple parties, with a potentially exponential increase in the number of illegal copies of any copyrighted work. With respect to any particular swarm,

the hash (an alphanumeric representation of a digital file) associated with the copied file's torrent file remains the same.

7. The premise of BitTorrent sharing is well known, and is stated on the Bittorrent.com website, at least until recently here, <http://www.bittorrent.com/help/guides/beginners-guide> (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 6**) as follows:

"BitTorrent is a protocol (a set of rules and description of how to do things) allowing you to download files quickly by allowing people downloading the file to upload (distribute) parts of it at the same time. BitTorrent is often used for distribution of very large files, very popular files and files available for free, as it is a lot cheaper, faster and more efficient to distribute files using BitTorrent than a regular download."

That is, each peer (i.e. member of a swarm) in a P2P network has acted and acts in cooperation with the other peers by agreeing to provide, and actually providing, an infringing reproduction of at least a substantial portion of a copyrighted work in anticipation of the other peers doing likewise with respect to that work and/or other works. Joining a P2P network is an intentional act, requiring the selection by a peer of multiple links to do so.

8. Depending on the particular P2P network involved, at any one time any number of people, from one or two, to hundreds, to several thousands, unlawfully use the P2P network to upload, that is, distribute, or download, that is, copy, copyrighted material. To the extent that persons using a P2P network identifies themselves, they use "user names" or "network names" which typically are nicknames that do not disclose the true identity of the user, and do not indicate the residence or business address of the user. So, while, as I explain below, we can detect infringements, we can only identify the infringers by their Internet Protocol address and the time that the infringement is detected by us. Note that while we detect an infringement at a particular instant, the infringer may, and likely is infringing at other times as well.

9. The use of P2P networks, such as those accessed with BitTorrent software, to make unauthorized copies of motion pictures has become such common knowledge that it is casually mentioned in newspaper articles. For example, in the article titled "The Glut of Shows

Unwatched" published on the New York Times website, and which at least until recently could be seen at this web page: <http://www.nytimes.com/2010/09/06/business/media/06carr.html> (attached to this Declaration as **Exhibit 3**). There is this statement by the article's author who was describing his efforts to find a television show he had missed:

"Starting to feel desperate, I thought for a moment about hopping on the laptop and searching BitTorrent for an **illegal copy**, but given that I make a living creating original content for a large media company, **stealing** from another one did not seem like a good idea."

(Emphasis added by me.)

10. Plaintiff and other similarly situated companies contract with CEG to have CEG determine whether or not copies of their works are being distributed on the Internet without their permission and to identify infringers. Plaintiff does not authorize distribution of its motion pictures on P2P networks.

11. CEG utilizes a system of software components ("the System") conceptualized, developed, and maintained by me in order to collect data about unauthorized distribution of copies of copyrighted works on P2P networks.

12. The life cycle as it relates to monitoring copyrighted works of CEG's client's begins as follows. When a copyrighted work is requested to be monitored, my colleagues and I first check to ensure that a copyright registration exists for the work or is in process with the U.S. Copyright Office.

13. In this case, we confirmed that the work at issue in the above-captioned case (the "Work") is titled "My Little Panties: #2" and is registered in the United States Copyright Office (PA0001733587/2011-02-10), and that the Copyright Office's or other records show that the copyright is owned by Digital Sin, Inc., the above-identified Plaintiff.

14. Once the copyright information is confirmed, we use a text-based search to find digital files on the Internet that have the same title as the copyrighted work.

15. The digital files for which we search are available on P2P networks. As described above, a person making a copy available on a P2P network typically had obtained his or her copy

from a P2P network, and whenever a digital file is located on anyone's computer on a P2P network, that digital file is typically available to be downloaded from that computer to a requestor's computer. In every case that a CEG client's motion picture is available on a P2P network, it is an unauthorized distribution of the motion picture.

16. In this case, the P2P network on which we found unauthorized distribution of Plaintiff's Work was a BitTorrent network.

17. When a digital file with the same name as CEG's client's motion picture is found on a P2P network, CEG downloads a full copy of the file. The file is then forwarded to a two-stage verification computer process and identified by two people. The computer process compares the digital data in the suspect file with digital data in a digital copy of the motion picture obtained from CEG's client. If the suspect file matches the authorized file, then the two people play the suspect file and watch the motion picture. If both people confirm that a substantial portion of the motion picture in the suspect file is substantially the same as a corresponding portion of CEG's client's motion picture, then particular unique data (often referred to as metadata) in the suspect file (now referred to in this Declaration as the "accused file") is noted by the System, and the System searches for additional computers on P2P networks that have the same suspect file.

18. Users subscribe to the services of an ISP to gain access to the Internet. Each time a subscriber accesses the Internet, the ISP provides a unique Internet Protocol ("IP") address to the subscriber. An ISP generally records the times and dates that it assigns each IP address to a subscriber and maintains for a period of time a record of such an assignment to a subscriber in logs maintained by the ISP. In addition, the ISP maintains records which typically include the name, one or more address, one or more telephone numbers, and one or more email addresses of the subscriber. P2P technology relies on the ability to identify the computers to and from which users can search and exchange files. The technology identifies those computers by the IP address from which the computer connects to the Internet. Taking advantage of this technology and the unique metadata associated with the file containing unlawful copy of CEG's client's

motion picture, CEG's System inspects file-sharing networks for computers that are distributing at least a substantial portion of a copy of a copyrighted work owned by Plaintiff, and when CEG finds such a computer, CEG's System also collects the following publicly accessible information: (a) the time and date the infringer was found, (b) the time(s) and date(s) when a portion of the accused file was downloaded successfully to the accused infringer's computer, (c) the time and date the infringer was last successfully connected to via the P2P network with respect to the infringer's computer's downloading and/or uploading the accused file to the Internet (hereinafter referred to as "Timestamp"), (d) the IP address assigned to the infringer's computer, (e) the P2P software application used by the infringer and the port number used by the infringer's P2P software, (f) the size of the accused file, and that file's MD5 checksum, and SHA-1 checksum (the last of which is the unique "hash" referred to above), (g) the percent of the file downloaded by us from the infringer's computer, (h) the percent of the accused file on the infringer's computer which is available at that moment for copying by other peers, and (i) any relevant transfer errors. In addition, CEG uses available databases to record the name of the ISP having control of the IP address and the state (and often the city or county) associated with that IP address. However, because of the partially anonymous nature of the P2P Internet distribution system used by Defendants, the true names, street addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses of Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. CEG also downloads the available file from a subscriber's computer, and later runs visual observations to confirm whether or not the file is a copy of at least a substantial portion of a copyrighted work of Plaintiff. CEG has confirmed that each of the files obtained from the Defendants that are listed in **Exhibit A** attached to the Complaint filed in this case is a copy of a substantial portion of the copyrighted work listed in **Exhibit A**. All of this information is stored in database files on CEG's computers.

19. As indicated above, an Internet Protocol address uniquely identifies each computer connected to the Internet. If one knows a computer's Internet Protocol address, one can, using publicly available reverse-lookup databases on the Internet, identify the ISP used by that computer and the city (or county) and state in which the computer was located at the date

and time that the Internet Protocol address was obtained. However, the actual name and address of the person subscribing to the ISP's service is neither publicly available, nor available to CEG.

20. However, with the Internet Protocol address and the date and time that the infringer's computer was accessing the Internet through the ISP, the ISP (be it AT&T, Verizon, Qwest, Comcast or any of many other ISPs) can review its own subscriber logs to identify either (i) the names and addresses of the subscriber, or (ii) the intermediary ISP through which the person is ultimately subscribed to the main ISP. In turn, if the intermediary ISP is provided with the Internet Protocol address and the date and time that the infringer's computer was accessing the Internet through the ISP, then the intermediary ISP can review its own subscriber logs to identify the name, addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses of the subscriber.

21. With respect to accused files, CEG sends notices (sometimes referred to as "DMCA notices") to ISPs. Each notice includes the identity of an accused file and the Internet Protocol address of the computer having that file available for download, along with the Timestamp associated with it. In the notice, CEG requests that the ISP forward the notice to the ISP's subscriber associated with the Internet Protocol address. Each notice includes, among other information, an address for the accused infringer to contact CEG to arrange for settlement. In the above-captioned case, the Internet Protocol addresses identified in **Exhibit A** of the Digital Sin, Inc. Complaint are those of subscribers who had not settled with CEG. **Exhibit A** lists on a Defendant-by-Defendant basis (one Defendant per row) the IP address associated with each Defendant, the identity of the ISP associated with the IP address, the date and time (the Timestamp referred to earlier) that the infringement by that Defendant was last observed, and the software protocol used by the Defendant in infringing the Work, the title of which, along with its copyright registration number, is set forth on the first page of **Exhibit A**.

22. With respect to Plaintiff's copyrighted motion picture named in the Complaint, CEG performed the steps described in paragraphs 11-21 above. In summary, each of the computers having the IP addresses and time stamps listed in **Exhibit A** of the Digital Sin, Inc. Complaint made a digital file copy of at least a substantial portion of Plaintiff's Work, and,

without authorization, made such file available for download by others on a P2P network. As indicated above, all of the infringers identified as "Doe" defendants in the Digital Sin, Inc. Complaint used BitTorrent software. Further, the hashes associated with the torrent files on the computers having the IP addresses and time stamps listed in **Exhibit A** are all identical to each other, that is, they all have the same hash. This demonstrates that all the Doe defendants listed in **Exhibit A** joined the same swarm and engaged in a series of related transactions.

23. CEG sent DMCA notices as described above to the ISPs with respect to all the Doe Defendants in the case. None of the ISPs provided the names and addresses of the Doe Defendants to CEG. However, we could determine that the Doe Defendants in this case are likely in the Florida area. Without information held by the ISPs, we cannot obtain further information needed to identify the Defendants, including their names, and their actual addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses.

24. In sum, the Defendants in this case engaged in a series of related transactions, because they all downloaded the exact same file (not just the same copyrighted work), within a limited period of time. Furthermore, because of the nature of torrent software, they engaged in a series of related transactions because in order to download a movie (or parts of it), one must permit other users to download and/or upload the file from one's own computer. Thus, the Defendants were simultaneously trading (downloading and/or uploading) the exact same file during a limited period of time. While Defendants engaged in this downloading and/or uploading of the file, they exposed their IP address. With torrent software, one can see the IP address of the various computers that one is connected to, and which are sharing files in cooperation with, one's own computer.

25. We have made every effort to ensure that all alleged infringers have in fact engaged in a series of related transactions and can thus be properly joined in one lawsuit. Most importantly: (i) We have identified only alleged infringers who traded exactly the same file of the copyrighted works at issue (not just the same copyrighted work); and (ii) we have limited the time period during which we searched copyright infringements; in addition, (iii) we have limited

the geographic search to ensure as much as technically possible that the alleged infringers are in fact within the geographic area of the court. However, because of intermediary ISPs and the location of the ISPs technical facilities, the location cannot always be exactly pinpointed.

26. I am informed that before any discovery can be made in civil litigation, a meeting of the parties or the parties counsel must be held. However, the actual identities of the Doe Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff, and therefore the Digital Sin, Inc. Complaint cannot be served on any defendant. Without serving the Digital Sin, Inc. Complaint on any defendant, the pre-discovery meeting cannot be held. Therefore, Plaintiff needs early discovery from the ISPs, and any intermediary ISPs that may be involved, so that the names and addresses of the accused infringers can be obtained by Plaintiff to enable it to enforce its rights in its copyright and prevent continued infringement.

27. ISPs retain their logs for only a limited time. In my experience, based on my three years of hands-on experience in working with ISPs, such information is retained for only about six months. Thus, such information must be requested expeditiously and the ISP must be informed to retain such information in view of subsequent litigation.

28. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated as information and belief, and those matters I believe to be true, and if called upon to testify I can competently do so as set forth above.

Executed this 22nd day of October, 2011 in Los Angeles, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jon Nicolini", written in a cursive style.

Jon Nicolini

EXHIBIT 1

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

EXHIBIT 2

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

EXHIBIT 3

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

EXHIBIT 4

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

EXHIBIT 5

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

EXHIBIT 6

TO DECLARATION OF JON NICOLINI

Exhibit A

Table of Last-Observed Infringements by Defendants of Digital Sin Inc's Copyright in the Motion Picture "My Little Panties 2 ," Copyright Reg. No. PA0001733587

Defendant	Internet Protocol Address (IP)	Timestamp (U.S. Eastern Time)	Internet Service Provider (ISP)	Protocol	Hash
Doe 1	108.9.87.176	2011-09-16 05:54:07 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 2	173.168.217.63	2011-09-01 07:33:48 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 3	173.169.165.54	2011-09-02 04:19:55 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 4	173.170.195.85	2011-09-05 16:09:04 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 5	173.171.151.198	2011-09-29 10:32:39 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 6	173.65.192.88	2011-09-25 11:28:18 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 7	173.78.0.108	2011-09-05 18:40:24 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 8	173.78.247.119	2011-09-02 09:01:45 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 9	174.48.164.81	2011-09-01 18:47:47 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 10	174.48.196.164	2011-09-20 08:08:57 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 11	174.58.230.116	2011-09-25 20:39:16 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 12	174.61.78.248	2011-09-02 05:41:04 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 13	174.63.131.228	2011-09-27 01:46:12 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 14	184.88.217.96	2011-09-28 14:42:06 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 15	184.88.58.171	2011-09-09 20:32:12 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 16	184.91.120.190	2011-09-18 23:59:30 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 17	184.91.138.107	2011-09-30 04:44:03 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 18	184.91.184.181	2011-09-28 02:53:45 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 19	184.91.249.162	2011-09-27 19:43:20 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 20	24.127.219.160	2011-09-13 22:58:32 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 21	24.129.110.232	2011-09-27 15:07:44 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 22	24.129.62.174	2011-09-10 02:39:39 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 23	24.129.68.148	2011-09-28 14:03:25 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 24	24.250.134.125	2011-09-02 22:23:58 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 25	24.250.216.18	2011-09-09 03:04:50 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 26	24.73.56.23	2011-09-18 17:46:21 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 27	24.92.174.101	2011-09-12 22:35:20 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4

Doe 28	24.94.113.84	2011-09-04 14:13:23 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 29	65.32.227.180	2011-09-03 20:48:53 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 30	65.33.170.24	2011-09-02 22:30:48 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 31	65.34.183.246	2011-09-26 13:39:46 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 32	66.176.167.132	2011-09-06 11:53:29 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 33	66.176.168.36	2011-09-22 23:57:15 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 34	66.176.241.47	2011-09-24 15:23:40 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 35	66.176.49.64	2011-09-18 19:22:49 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 36	66.176.79.146	2011-09-10 01:48:15 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 37	66.177.96.39	2011-09-23 05:05:30 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 38	66.177.98.236	2011-09-26 01:44:12 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 39	66.229.2.17	2011-09-02 05:50:10 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 40	66.229.2.97	2011-09-03 14:50:09 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 41	66.229.207.16	2011-09-15 00:29:00 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 42	66.229.219.230	2011-09-02 18:40:12 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 43	67.162.165.122	2011-09-11 19:48:44 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 44	67.162.169.70	2011-09-10 15:24:51 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 45	67.191.19.183	2011-09-25 17:08:24 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 46	67.8.131.107	2011-09-27 22:34:50 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 47	67.8.162.232	2011-09-28 20:28:38 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 48	67.8.88.179	2011-09-29 23:58:47 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 49	68.1.71.29	2011-09-25 22:57:35 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 50	68.111.125.229	2011-09-04 01:31:44 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 51	68.200.1.128	2011-09-20 20:13:08 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 52	68.200.1.77	2011-09-13 22:58:32 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 53	68.200.14.111	2011-09-18 06:14:58 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 54	68.200.156.77	2011-09-14 22:38:11 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 55	68.202.103.20	2011-09-13 22:30:37 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 56	68.204.119.124	2011-09-29 11:42:09 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 57	68.204.124.208	2011-09-12 23:59:34 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 58	68.205.75.23	2011-09-09 12:47:51 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 59	68.35.229.200	2011-09-02 08:03:17 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 60	68.35.238.251	2011-09-28 14:35:54 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 61	68.54.79.57	2011-09-14 22:30:47 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 62	68.56.151.60	2011-09-06 15:24:58 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 63	68.59.106.54	2011-09-23 14:29:23 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4

Doe 64	68.84.250.49	2011-09-27 16:23:37 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 65	69.139.61.200	2011-09-01 03:14:48 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 66	69.22.75.230	2011-09-19 06:09:21 -0400	EarthLink	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 67	69.244.222.154	2011-09-05 17:30:02 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 68	69.254.165.126	2011-09-19 00:37:19 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 69	70.126.179.199	2011-09-09 15:28:22 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 70	70.126.29.13	2011-09-16 05:50:23 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 71	71.122.93.219	2011-09-03 22:02:34 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 72	71.180.136.159	2011-09-05 00:24:00 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 73	71.180.176.55	2011-09-29 14:23:19 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 74	71.180.239.202	2011-09-09 07:56:58 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 75	71.180.243.124	2011-09-06 09:24:33 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 76	71.196.27.191	2011-09-20 01:22:44 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 77	71.199.216.170	2011-09-11 11:42:38 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 78	71.200.231.218	2011-09-05 00:48:06 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 79	71.203.150.185	2011-09-30 22:59:51 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 80	71.203.158.46	2011-09-04 00:42:10 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 81	71.203.95.43	2011-09-11 19:39:07 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 82	71.228.77.197	2011-09-02 17:42:38 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 83	71.41.48.100	2011-09-03 02:48:18 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 84	71.43.75.19	2011-09-19 01:58:29 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 85	72.185.127.18	2011-09-25 22:23:06 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 86	72.185.171.249	2011-09-27 21:05:12 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 87	72.185.223.213	2011-09-05 15:03:00 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 88	72.185.37.213	2011-09-26 12:01:32 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 89	72.186.34.229	2011-09-03 07:45:36 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 90	72.187.250.32	2011-09-26 23:59:53 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 91	72.187.36.247	2011-09-24 10:29:06 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 92	72.189.40.244	2011-09-05 15:04:20 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 93	72.91.12.158	2011-09-24 08:28:36 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 94	72.91.144.218	2011-09-23 22:29:55 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 95	75.202.114.164	2011-09-03 17:40:06 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 96	75.202.156.204	2011-09-09 02:29:36 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 97	75.202.246.68	2011-09-25 20:54:38 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 98	75.202.247.162	2011-09-29 23:22:28 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 99	75.204.148.75	2011-09-26 05:09:33 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4


Doe 100	75.204.202.239	2011-09-21 15:11:58 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 101	75.224.96.87	2011-09-18 08:28:18 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 102	75.225.224.90	2011-09-17 23:57:29 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 103	75.253.135.56	2011-09-23 01:56:54 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 104	75.253.136.132	2011-09-06 21:45:29 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 105	75.253.154.146	2011-09-28 21:06:39 -0400	Cellco Partnership DBA Verizon Wireless	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 106	75.74.42.190	2011-09-07 09:20:39 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 107	76.106.190.23	2011-09-13 22:58:32 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 108	76.108.133.204	2011-09-27 15:02:43 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 109	76.108.28.74	2011-09-05 17:32:50 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 110	76.109.99.63	2011-09-03 14:14:35 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 111	76.110.53.133	2011-09-06 23:59:55 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 112	76.18.211.0	2011-09-25 17:52:43 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 113	76.26.31.190	2011-09-22 02:57:41 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 114	96.243.234.32	2011-09-14 08:25:13 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 115	96.254.216.220	2011-09-17 23:45:31 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 116	96.254.38.230	2011-09-29 10:42:33 -0400	Verizon Internet Services	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 117	97.100.14.32	2011-09-09 23:59:54 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 118	97.100.252.244	2011-09-25 23:59:47 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 119	97.100.253.233	2011-09-27 21:07:36 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 120	97.100.93.251	2011-09-28 22:15:21 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 121	97.101.113.21	2011-09-15 19:25:55 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 122	97.101.225.207	2011-09-19 23:59:03 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 123	97.102.141.118	2011-09-24 12:41:46 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 124	97.102.72.137	2011-09-26 16:18:55 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 125	97.103.196.105	2011-09-27 10:28:50 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 126	97.103.237.118	2011-09-06 19:17:04 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 127	97.103.249.129	2011-09-28 14:32:51 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 128	97.104.22.23	2011-09-02 00:35:25 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 129	97.78.83.56	2011-09-09 20:28:07 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 130	97.96.29.210	2011-09-03 17:38:23 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 131	97.96.62.226	2011-09-01 07:41:34 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 132	97.97.3.134	2011-09-25 21:04:06 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 133	97.97.51.154	2011-09-16 23:58:18 -0400	Road Runner	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 134	98.180.5.96	2011-09-26 04:54:45 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4
Doe 135	98.180.80.57	2011-09-25 07:28:15 -0400	COX COMMUNICATIONS	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331fffd4

Doe 136	98.208.225.152	2011-09-18 22:10:19 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 137	98.211.128.133	2011-09-28 18:22:19 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 138	98.211.164.151	2011-09-11 20:00:29 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 139	98.219.68.32	2011-09-18 15:24:40 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 140	98.219.93.107	2011-09-18 22:34:50 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 141	98.231.90.136	2011-09-26 16:19:50 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 142	98.244.217.51	2011-09-07 03:05:43 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 143	98.254.173.198	2011-09-08 01:32:59 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 144	98.254.182.40	2011-09-27 12:42:36 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4
Doe 145	98.254.2.244	2011-09-03 15:18:42 -0400	Comcast Cable	BitTorrent	f144ffdb4d167a2dc23a5fcbe7ca5c8e331ffd4



Public Catalog


Copyright Catalog (1978 to present)
Search Request: Left Anchored Title = my little panties
Search Results: Displaying 2 of 2 entries



Labeled View

My Little Panties :

Type of Work: Motion Picture
Registration Number / Date: PA0001733587 / 2011-02-10
Application Title: My Little Panties #2.
Title: My Little Panties : 2.
Description: Videodisc (DVD)
Copyright Claimant: Digital Sin Inc. Address: 21345 Lassen Street, Chatsworth, CA, 91311.
Date of Creation: 2010
Date of Publication: 2010-11-04
Nation of First Publication: United States
Authorship on Application: Digital Sin Inc (author of anonymous contribution), employer for hire; Domicile: not known; Citizenship: not known. Authorship: entire motion picture.
Names: [Digital Sin Inc](#)



Save, Print and Email (Help Page)
Select Download Format Full Record : Format for Print/Save
Enter your email address:

[Help](#) [Search](#) [History](#) [Titles](#) [Start Over](#)



Video Piracy Brief

83 HALLS ROAD, P.O. BOX 245 / OLD LYME, CONNECTICUT 06371 / USVO.COM

The United States leads the world in the creation and export of intellectual property (IP) and IP-related products.¹ Piracy, which is the unauthorized use or reproduction of copyrighted or patented material, jeopardizes this.

The freedom and incentive provided to authors, artists, and scientists to create new inventions and artistic works is an American tradition. U.S. patent law grants the originator of an invention or artistic work legal protection from copying and the freedom to profit from it. Article I, Section 8, Clause 8, of the U.S. Constitution declares that, "the Congress shall have power . . . to promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries." The First Congress of the United States further defined this with the Copyright Act of 1790.

WHY PIRACY IS A PROBLEM

The U.S. motion picture industry loses more than \$3 billion annually in potential revenue² and unless online piracy is curbed, these losses will become even greater. It costs an average of \$82 million per film to produce and market, with only two in ten movies ever retrieving its total investment from U.S. theatrical exhibition. Each film must journey through various distribution channels – airlines, home video, satellite delivery, premium and basic cable, over the air TV stations and internationally – in order to break even or make a profit.³ When piracy of a film occurs at any point in the release sequence, all subsequent markets are negatively affected.⁴

The Internet has the potential to be a convenient means for consumers to be able to watch any movie they want, when they want. However, with the advent of the Internet, the acquiring and spreading of illegal content is unprecedented. With just a click of a button, content can instantly be sent or received from anywhere in the world.⁵

Over the past few years, piracy has had a devastating impact on the music industry. The Internet has enabled individuals the ability to download music free onto their computer, in a matter of minutes. The music industry has been fighting this problem for years, and believes piracy is responsible for the low music sales they have been experiencing.

The motion picture industry however, has not experienced this problem to the same scale due to the very long time it takes dial-up users to download a movie. This has started to change as more Internet users continue to upgrade from slow dial-up Internet access to the fast-speed, broadband access afforded them through cable and DSL modems. There are roughly 70 million U.S. households with Internet access; of them, about 16 million use broadband access.⁶ It has become all too common for newly released films to be illegally available on the Internet within 24 hours after their debut. And according to studies, millions of downloads of illegal movies occur each week.⁷ As broadband access becomes more available and affordable to households, video piracy will likely grow.

Technology continues to improve, making illegal copies higher quality and the means to download them faster and easier. Unlike VHS tapes that degrade, the quality of the 1000th digital copy of a DVD is as good as the original.⁸ Although many pirated movies are poor quality, movie studios are concerned that as counterfeit films become higher quality they could affect VHS and DVD revenues.

HOW PIRACY HAPPENS

With video piracy, there are two basic phases: acquiring an illegal copy of a film, and distributing the illegal copy. There are many ways to copy illegally a movie. Some of the methods are:

Camcording: Pirates record a movie as it appears on a theater screen by smuggling a hand-held video camera into a theater. These copies are usually poor quality both visually and audibly.

Telesync: A more professional method than camcording in order to make a higher quality recording of a film. With or without the help of a theater employee, the pirate sets up a professional digital camera on a tripod in an empty auditorium and records the movie. To obtain higher quality sound they may employ methods like using wireless-transmitters on theater speakers,⁹ running cables from the "hearing impaired" outlets or directly from the projection equipment.¹⁰

Telecine: A sophisticated method where the pirate has access to a film reel at a theater. Using a Telecine machine the pirate can record a very high quality copy of the movie either directly to a computer's hard drive or onto VHS tape and then digitize the copy at home.¹¹

Screeners: These promotional preview films for marketing purposes are provided to video retailers or film reviewers before a movie's official street release. Pirates obtain a screener DVD and make a copy of it.

Downloadable media: A pirate will legally download a movie onto their computer, and then use software to break the encryption to make an illegal copy.

Streaming media: A pirate will copy a movie that is legally delivered to him or her in a steady stream in near real time.

PVR/DVR and Video-on-Demand: A pirate can intercept, divert and save media content that has been retrieved from a storage system, as it is being output to a TV screen

Cable, satellite and Telco TV: A pirate can intercept and save digital media content that has been delivered to a set-top box and decoded, as it is being output to a TV screen

Workprint: A pirate will obtain an incomplete copy of a film and copy it.

Theatrical print: A pirate steals a film from a theater, film depot, courier service or other industry-related facility.¹²

Once a pirate obtains an illegal copy of a movie, depending on the quality of the copy, the pirate can distribute it either online or sell it as a hard good. To distribute a film over the Internet, the pirate uses file compression software, and can then use Internet Relay Chat (IRC), which is a system used for chatting and file-swapping. Other Internet distribution methods are using peer-to-peer file-swapping software like Kazaa, Grokster or Morpheus, or using popular Usenets, which allow users to post messages, and share audio and video files. If a film is going to be sold, then copies are made onto VHS tapes, DVDs, or VCDs. These counterfeit films are then sent to illegal distribution channels in the U.S., or shipped overseas for sale even before the movie's international theatrical debut.

WHY PIRACY HAPPENS

There are three groups of pirates: ordinary Internet users, amateurs, and professionals. Many ordinary Internet users believe that if it is on the Internet, it is free to all who can download it.¹³ Those who believe this, will download and watch an illegal movie if it is easy to do. Amateur pirates are usually computer or Internet hobbyists with varying skills and motivation for pirating. Some make illegal copies for the "bragging rights" of being the first to provide a newly released film. Others believe they are providing a service to their Internet community at large. Some feel they are fighting the power of the movie industry or the government. Then there are those who do it as a hobby.

On the other hand, professional pirates are motivated by money. They sell illegal videos on the street, at open markets, on auction websites, etc. International criminal groups are getting rich from the high gain/low risk business of stealing America's copyrighted works.¹⁴ The FBI reports that, "There is strong evidence that organized groups have moved into IP crime and that they are using the profits generated from these crimes to facilitate other illegal activities."¹⁵ The link between organized crime groups and counterfeit goods is well established. Interpol is sounding the alarm that Intellectual Property Crime is becoming the preferred method of funding for a number of terrorist groups.¹⁶ Participants at the 1st International Conference on IPR hosted by Interpol in Lyon, France in 2001 "all agreed the evidence was indisputable: a lucrative trafficking in counterfeit and pirate products – music, movies, seed patents, software, tee-shirts, Nikes, knock-off CDs and 'fake drugs' accounts for much of the money the international terrorist network depends on to feed its operations."¹⁷

RECENT MOVIE PIRACIES

Lucasfilm: A week after the new “Star Wars Episode I” blockbuster opened in the United States in May of 1999, pirated copies were already hitting store shelves in Hong Kong. Reports of widely available video CDs of the film selling from \$3.20 to \$3.80 were reported.¹⁸ With this experience fresh in executives’ minds, May of 2002, George Lucas’ highly anticipated Episode II of the Star Wars saga debuted. This time two pirated versions of the film was available a week before the film opened at the theaters. Reports indicated that the versions appeared to have been recorded with digital camcorders at a private screening.

Warner Brothers: Rumors circulated that a copy of “Matrix Reloaded” was available online the day before the film was released at theaters in May of 2003. Within two weeks of its debut, a high-quality copy of the film was available on the Internet for downloading. In addition, low-quality DVD copies were available for purchase.¹⁹ All this, despite Warner Brothers decision to avoid piracy by opening the movie worldwide in 62 countries.²⁰

Universal Studios: “The Hulk,” which opened June 20, 2003, was predicted to be one of the blockbuster movie hits of the summer. However, two weeks before its theater release, a New Jersey man obtained a “workprint” of the film. The individual is not a professional pirate, rather a self-described computer hobbyist. He used software tools to defeat security protections in the film designed to prevent unauthorized duplication, and then posted the copied film onto the Internet. The release of the pirated movie is believed to have contributed to the lower than expected ticket sales at the box office.

HOW PIRACY IS COMBATED

Piracy is fought on many fronts: legislatively, with law enforcement, and through technology. The Motion Picture Association of America (MPAA) is tasked with fighting movie piracy and thus takes copyright infringement very seriously. The MPAA has been vocal in voicing their concerns to Congress, and new laws have been passed in regards to intellectual property protection. Some of the most recent laws are the 1997 “No Electronic Theft Act (NET Act),” which was viewed as “closing a loophole” in copyright law. It expanded the law to make it illegal to reproduce or distribute copyrighted works, even if the accused acted without commercial purpose or for private financial gain. The “Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998,” for updating U.S. copyright laws for the digital age, and for preparation in ratifying the World Intellectual Property Organization Copyright Treaty. In the “Digital Theft Deterrence and Copyright Damages Improvement Act of 1999,” Congress approved a significant hike in the minimum statutory damages for various types of copyright infringement.

The Department of Justice has a specific section of the criminal division – the Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section – devoted to combating cybercrime. In addition, the FBI has created Computer Crime Squads in 16 metropolitan areas around the country specifically to investigate cybercrime.²¹ The primary objective of the FBI’s IP program is to reduce the economic loss associated with the counterfeiting and theft of U.S. intellectual property by criminal conspiracies and other major offenders. To accomplish its objective in the area of IP crime, the FBI is focused on increasing both the quantity and quality of IP investigations and prosecutions.²²

The MPAA uses Ranger, a sophisticated search engine, to track down illegal movies that are on the Internet. When a pirated movie is found, they send “Cease and desist” letters to the website in violation.²³ In addition, the MPAA has an internal Internet piracy task force that works closely with law enforcement agencies throughout the world to find and catch video pirates. In an attempt to stop illegal copying of movies in theaters, the MPAA has begun using, in certain situations, airport style security to find concealed video cameras.²⁴ They have also used theater personnel with night vision goggles to detect video cameras during a movie showing.²⁵

Digital Rights Management (DRM) is a general term used to describe various techniques that content providers use to protect their copyrighted material and define the rights on how their copyright material is to be made available to users. In the technology industry, most DRM attention is focused on producing better means of ensuring only authorized users have access to content and in preventing illegal copying of content. The method in which to achieve this is by making better encryption software and technology. This effort, while needed, continues to be defeated. As highly intelligent as the individuals in this field are, and as sophisticated the encryption software and technology continues to evolve, there are equally intelligent hackers and pirates from around the world who are highly motivated to break the encryptions.

The MPAA is experiencing major problems. In the numerous cases of pirates using digital camcorders to record movies in theaters, encryption methods are powerless to prevent this. Moreover, with the Internet available worldwide, fighting piracy by U.S. legislation alone cannot solve this issue. Furthermore, not all countries abide by or enforce their own copyright laws. In addition, when a website that contains illegal movies is shut down, they simply relocate.

SUMMARY

As Jack Valenti, president of the MPAA has clearly stated, the real way to combat piracy is by catching and bringing the pirates to justice. When this occurs, it will send the message to pirates that they can no longer be anonymous and continue to believe pirating movies is high-reward with low risk of being caught.²⁶

Combating content piracy is an uphill battle that is unlikely to subside any time soon. Individuals no longer have to physically steal a product, they can simply download information or transmit it electronically to a single accomplice or to tens of thousands of people in an instant -- and they can do so with total anonymity. It is hardly surprising that there are so many organized "hacker" groups engaged in large scale distribution of pirated products over the Internet or that there are also thousands of websites that exist solely to distribute pirated products when the money to be made from this type of activity can be significant, and the risk of being caught so minimal.²⁷

Please visit us at www.usvo.com, to read USA Video Interactive's press release on our upcoming anti-piracy software. For more information, contact Edwin Molina, CEO (info@usvo.com).

¹ http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/fc/fifu/about/about_ipc.htm

² <http://www.mpa.org/anti-piracy/>

³ "If You Cannot Protect What You Own, You Don't Own Anything! A brief report concerning the dark underside of Internet piracy as well as the possibility of a cleansing redemption to benefit the American consumer", Presented to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation, on behalf of the member companies of THE MOTION PICTURE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, by Jack Valenti, President and Chief Executive Officer, February 28, 2002

⁴ <http://www.mpa.org/anti-piracy/>

⁵ "COPYRIGHT & CREATIVITY - The Jewel in America's Trade Crown": A call to the Congress to protect and preserve the fastest growing Economic Asset of the United States, Presented by Jack Valenti, President & Chief Executive Officer of the Motion Picture Association of America to The International Trademark Association, Santa Monica, California, January 22, 2001

⁶ "The Impact of the Internet on the Law and Economics of the United States Motion Picture Industry," by Stanford L. Levin, Department of Economics & Finance at Southern Illinois University, John B. Meisel, Department of Economics & Finance at Southern Illinois University and Timothy S. Sullivan, Department of Economics & Finance at Southern Illinois University, January 30, 2003

⁷ "Hollywood hunts for pirates," By Michael McCarthy, USA TODAY, July 30, 2003

⁸ "A CLEAR PRESENT AND FUTURE DANGER: The potential undoing of America's greatest export trade prize, An Accounting of Movie Thievery in the Analog and Digital Format, in the U.S. and Around the World," Offered to the House Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies, by Jack Valenti, Chairman & Chief Executive Officer, THE MOTION PICTURE ASSOCIATION, in Ashburn, Virginia, April 23, 2002

⁹ <http://www.divx-digest.com/articles/telesync.html>

¹⁰ "Secure content protection: An overview of the proposed security mechanisms in digital cinema," By Michael Strömberg, KTH Advanced Media Technology Lab Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

¹¹ "Secure content protection: An overview of the proposed security mechanisms in digital cinema," By Michael Strömberg, KTH Advanced Media Technology Lab Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

¹² <http://www.mpa.org/anti-piracy/>

¹³ "COPYRIGHT & CREATIVITY - The Jewel in America's Trade Crown": A call to the Congress to protect and preserve the fastest growing Economic Asset of the United States, Presented by Jack Valenti, President & Chief Executive Officer of the Motion Picture Association of America to The International Trademark Association, Santa Monica, California, January 22, 2001

¹⁴ Testimony of Jack Valenti, President and CEO, Motion Picture Association of America, Before The Subcommittee On Courts, The Internet, And Intellectual Property, Committee on the Judiciary U.S. House of Representatives "International Copyright Piracy: Links to Organized Crime and Terrorism", March 13, 2003

¹⁵ http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/fc/fifu/about/about_ipc.htm

¹⁶ "The links between intellectual property crime and terrorist financing," text of public testimony of Ronald K. Noble, Secretary General of Interpol Before the United States House Committee on International Relations, One hundred eighth congress, July 16th 2003

¹⁷ Testimony of Jack Valenti, President and CEO, Motion Picture Association of America, Before The Subcommittee On Courts, The Internet, And Intellectual Property, Committee on the Judiciary U.S. House of Representatives "International Copyright Piracy: Links to Organized Crime and Terrorism", March 13, 2003

¹⁸ "Pirated copies of 'Star Wars' hit Hong Kong store shelves," Hong Kong (AP), May 27, 1999

¹⁹ "Matrix sequel pirated online," BBC News, May 27, 2003

²⁰ <http://keanuweb.com/credits/movie.matrix2.html>

²¹ Remarks of Attorney General John Ashcroft, First Annual Computer Privacy, Policy and Security Institute, May 22, 2001

²² http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/fc/fifu/about/about_ipc.htm

²³ "A CLEAR PRESENT AND FUTURE DANGER: The potential undoing of America's greatest export trade prize, An Accounting of Movie Thievery in the Analog and Digital Format, in the U.S. and Around the World," Offered to the House Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies, by Jack Valenti, Chairman & Chief Executive Officer, THE MOTION PICTURE ASSOCIATION, in Ashburn, Virginia, April 23, 2002

²⁴ "Mission (im)possible? Combating Film Piracy in the Digital World," By Nasya Bahfen, June 24 2003

²⁵ "The movie industry fights off the pirates," By Andy Seiler and Mike Snider, USA TODAY, May 6, 2003

²⁶ "How Hulk Crushed the Online Pirate," By P.J. Huffstutter, Times Staff Writer, June 26, 2003

²⁷ http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/fc/fifu/about/about_ipc.htm

About USA Video Interactive Corp.

USVO is a developer and supplier of Internet media delivery services, systems, and innovative end-to-end solutions. The Company developed its StreamHQ™ architecture to provide a wide range of business customers with value-added media delivery services. USVO holds the pioneering patent for store-and-forward video, filed in 1990 and issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 14, 1992; it has been cited by at least 165 other patents. USVO holds similar patents in Germany, Canada, England, France, Spain, and Italy. For more information, visit www.usvo.com.

USA Video Interactive Corporate Headquarters Office: 83 Halls Road, Old Lyme, Connecticut, 06371 Telephone (860) 434 - 5535 Facsimile (860) 434 - 5782; Canada Office: 507 - 837 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3N6. Trading symbol on the OTCBB: USVO; Trading symbol on the TSX Venture Exchange US; Trading symbol on the Berlin and Frankfurt Stock Exchanges: USF. CUSIP 902924208. For more information contact Edwin Molina (860) 434 - 5535; info@usvo.com

The press release may contain forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements. Investors are cautioned that such forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainties, which may cause actual results to differ from those described.

The TSX Venture Exchange (TSX) has not reviewed and does not accept responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this release.



Search form with radio buttons for Periodicals and Literature, and options for Keyword, Title, Author, and Topic.

Member login section with social media icons, user name and password fields, a login button, and a 'Remember me' checkbox.

The Free Library > Business and Industry > Business > Video Age International > March 1, 2003
The Free Library > Communications > Telecommunications industry > Video Age International > March 1, 2003
The Free Library > Date > 2003 > March > 1 > Video Age International

DVD piracy in the U.S. becomes an industry.

Market Track, LLC

Ads by Google

the leader in retail ad tracking, analysis and digital ad archives
www.markettrack.com

Top 15 ERP Manufacturing

2011 Latest Top ERP Manufacturing Software Rankings. Get Free Report.
Business-Software.com/Manufacturing

Google Business Website

Be found by customers looking online for businesses like yours!
www.VirginiaGetOnline.com

Manufacturing Outlook

Survey findings of manufacturing & distribution industries-free report
www.cliftoncpa.com

Do You Have an Idea?

Find Companies that Can Turn Your Idea Into A Real Product. Start Now
www.Idea4Invention.com

Compete.com

Empower Yourself With the Largest Panel in Competitive Intelligence.
www.Compete.com

Link to this page

Audiovisual piracy is a rich but dangerous business in the U.S. Last November, two armed would-be robbers broke into a small illegal CD and DVD manufacturer in Manhattan and one of them was killed. Similarly, a few months earlier, in July, also in New York, two men were wounded at the facility of a small illegal home video duplicator located near the Empire State Building.

According to the MPAA, the U.S. studios' association, over 400 labs for illegal duplication and replication of audiovisual content are discovered every year in the U.S., most of them in the New York metropolitan area. Miami, Florida, serves as the center of audiovisual piracy for Latin America.

Fresh Produce Software

Ads by Google

Continually Seeking Ways To Make Your Business Better, Learn More!
producepro.com

Internet piracy

Get Advice On Internet piracy. Your Source For Expert Legal Help.
legalcorner.com

In 2001, the legit U.S. music market was valued at \$13.7 billion with the piracy marker estimated at \$4.5 billion. In the same year, the theatrical market was valued at \$68.2 billion. But piracy caused losses of \$3 billion (excluding Internet piracy, which is not quantifiable). It is estimated that last year, DVD sales and rentals reached \$10.6 billion in the U.S.

The number of illegal CDs in circulation worldwide in 2001 was estimated at 950 million, but only 20 million of these were confiscated. It is also estimated that 130 million blank DVDRs were sold worldwide in 2002.

According to the RIAA, the recording industry association, illegal sellers of CDs can deprive U.S. stores of 3540 percent of their business, in addition to diminished revenues for artists, technicians and the state, in the form of uncollected taxes. In California alone some 18,000 jobs were lost because of audiovisual piracy. Retailers in America don't seem to care for parallel imports, which mostly hurt the owners of audiovisual rights. Often DVDs and CDs cost less in the U.S. than in Europe, but the EC is not in favor of technologies that may hinder free use. Therefore, parallel imports from countries where DVDs are less expensive or face fewer restrictions could be more a matter of illegal imports than of piracy.

Article Details

Printer friendly Cite/link Email Feedback

Author: Serafini, Dom

Publication: Video Age International

Geographic Code: 1USA

Date: Mar 1, 2003

Words: 1272

Previous Article: Calendar of events.

Next Article: Sumner's clever U.K. adventure.

Topics: Motion picture industry

Crimes against

Movie industry

Crimes against

Music industry

Crimes against

Statistics

Piracy (Copyright)

Statistics

Related Articles

Finding the source of DVD piracy is elusive.

IT'S EVEN BIGGER THAN DRUGS CD, DVD PIRACY MAKES BILLIONS IN CHINA.

The good, the bad and the ugly of TV piracy.

PIRACY GETS TOP BILLING ON VISIT MAYOR TO PRESS FAKE-DVD ISSUE.

HOLIDAY BLITZ TAKES AIM AT DVD PIRATES SHOPPERS RECEIVE WARNING.



Thanks to recent technological advances, audiovisual piracy is moving from pressed (replicated) CDs and DVDs to illegal DVD-Rs and CD-Rs via duplication (burning or recordable). Nowadays one can legally buy blank CD-Rs at 30 cents each, even in small quantities. Therefore, to distinguish their product, big recording labels don't use CD-Rs (recognizable by the bluish hue on one side), and employ expensive replication equipment.

To compensate for the losses due to piracy, U.S. recording companies recently decided to increase the average retail cost of CDs from \$15 to \$17 each, well aware that this could cause a surge in illegal sales (where costs amount to about \$5 per disc). The retail cost of legal CDs includes the "royalty" fee. The Philips CD license agreement lowered the fee from \$0.03 to \$0.0175 on each recorded CD made since July 2002, whereas the cost of polycarbonate resins increased to \$3 per pound, representing 40 percent of the production cost of a blank CD-R.

To reduce piracy, some companies also produce their CDs in such a way that they cannot be used in computers or transferred onto MP3 players, and they insert a CSS encoding program in DVDs. These systems may discourage consumers, but they seldom work with professional pirates.

The least expensive way to produce illegal CDs and DVDs is through duplication with a burner worth about \$9,000, but this can only be used for limited quantities. Recently, though, Marcan has introduced a new duplication system able to copy 100 CD-Rs at a time. Replicating large quantities of discs from a master is much more expensive. Such equipment can cost up to \$500,000.

A way to control piracy consists in monitoring the manufacturers of duplication equipment (about 40 in the U.S.), as well as replicators of CDs and DVDs (about 50). However, used equipment is not as easy to trace, except by way of repair parts and maintenance.

Since most recordable drivers are produced by Pioneer, it's also possible to monitor piracy at the source, controlling the distribution of small equipment. In fact, there are only nine basic producers of drivers in the world, including Philips, Sony and Ricoh. Drivers labeled with other brand names such as Dell and Apple are always repackaged versions of the original brands.

Furthermore, since the number of polycarbonate producers is also small (Dow Plastic, Bayer Polyolefins, GE Plastics, among others), the production of blank CDs and DVDs could also be monitored. Optical grade polycarbonate is not that common, and replication uses a lot of it.

An element that would elude authorities' control is the packaging industry; CD and DVD cases can be purchased for as low as \$0.49 each. But only large groups such as Sony and Du Pont produce the plastic material used to make those cases.

According to Barry Rosenstock, president of Anchor Digital, a DVD production company, the New York market is flooded by replicated lowend pirated DVDs from Taiwan, mainly produced by Ritek, Primedisc and Optodisc, costing one-fourth of what other illegal DVDs may cost. Conversely, much of the piracy done in the U.S. is on CD-R and DVD-R, the recordable formats. Most DVD duplicators are made by Bravo, but there are also machines which are made by various companies. However, these almost always use Pioneer drivers to do the burning.

Katherine Cochrane, president of CD-Info, said that most made-in-the-U.S. piracy concerns CD-R/DVD-R, while pressed discs are imported, since it's very difficult to hide replicating equipment.

According to Tony Perez, director of the anti-piracy division of International Recording Media Association (IRMA), "Pirates seeking high volume production will not invest in expensive injection moulding equipment, but rather misrepresent themselves to legitimate replicators and get them to manufacture product." The duplication cost of a DVD is \$0.95 (for 5,000 items without cases) versus \$2.50 for a VHS tape.

Nine organizations fight piracy in the U.S., including the MPAA (video), RTAA (music), IRMA (duplication and recording), BSA (software), VSDA (video and CD retailers), IDSA (Internet), in addition to the FBI and local police.

U.S. associations against audiovisual piracy:

* www.mpaa.org/anti-piracy

* www.siaa.net/piracy/

* www.bsa.org/usa/antipiracy/

* www.riia.org/protect-campaign-1.cfm

* www.ifpi.org

* www.recordingmedia.org (IRMA)

* www.idsa.com

* www.vsda.org

* www.sdmi.org

RELATED ARTICLE: 2002 Statistics (source: IRMA)

Replication in the world:

* CD-Audio: 4.35 billion units

* DVD-Video: 1.32 billion units

Replication in North America:

* CD-Audio: 1.63 billion units

* DVD-Video: 630 million units

CD-R demand:

* 4.225 million worldwide

* 1.3 billion in North America

Home Video

* Rental: 103 million worldwide, 70 million in North America

* Sales: 1.183 billion worldwide, 650 million in North America

DVD Sales and Rentals: \$10 billion in the U.S.

(According to IRMA, 9.72 billion optical discs were replicated worldwide in 2000. IRMA lists 21 types of optical discs relevant to the piracy market, including CD-Audio, CD-Rom, CD-Video, DVD-Video, DVD-Rom and DVD-Audio).

Historical notes:

* The CD was introduced by Philips in 1979.

* The CD player was sold for the first time in Japan in 1982 by Sony (the CDP 101) and in the U.S. by Philips in 1983 (the CD 100). Philips used a Luciano Pavarotti recording for its early presentations.

* The first commercial U.S. CD was 52nd Street by Billy Joel.

* The CD-R was introduced in 1988.

* The DVD (digital versatile disc) player was first sold commercially in 1997.

* There are two main DVD formats: DVD-5 and DVD-9.

* Today, 40 million American families own a DVD player.

COPYRIGHT 2003 TV Trade Media, Inc.

No portion of this article can be reproduced without the express written permission from the copyright holder.

Copyright 2003, Gale Group. All rights reserved. Gale Group is a Thomson Corporation Company.

Please bookmark with social media, your votes are noticed and appreciated:




[Online Fraud Protection](#)

Ads by Google

Reduce review rates to just 2-3% w/ FraudNet Airlines soln. Learn how
www.the41st.com

[Investoholic Blog](#)

Strategies of a successful trader. Tips, Tricks, and Stock Picks!
www.investoholic.com

Reader ratings:  3 [2 vote(s)] You can rate this article by selecting 1 to 5 stars on the left.

Reader Opinion

Title:

Comment:

[Try AdWords Express](#)

Ads by Google

Get your ad online locally in mins. Sign up for \$75 in advertising now

Google.com/awexpress

[Hospitality industry Trends](#)

Earn a hospitality degree online at American Public University. Enroll.

www.APUS.edu/Hospitality

[Full-Text Online Library](#)

Online library of books, journals, articles. Research online.

www.Questia.com/Online_Library

[Free Free DVD Player](#)

uPlayer - the Free DVD Player. Get the Full Version - 100% Free!

www.uPlayer.us.com

[The Free Library](#) > [Business and Industry](#) > [Business](#) > [Video Age International](#) > [March 1, 2003](#)

[The Free Library](#) > [Communications](#) > [Telecommunications industry](#) > [Video Age International](#) > [March 1, 2003](#)

[The Free Library](#) > [Date](#) > [2003](#) > [March](#) > [1](#) > [Video Age International](#)

Publications by Name

[A-D](#) [E-O](#) [P-T](#) [U-Z](#)

Publications by Date

[before 1995](#) [1995-1999](#)
[2000-2004](#) [2005-2009](#) [2010-](#)

Authors

[A](#) [B](#) [C](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#) [G](#) [H](#) [I](#) [J](#) [K](#) [L](#) [M](#)
[N](#) [O](#) [P](#) [Q](#) [R](#) [S](#) [T](#) [U](#) [V](#) [W](#) [X](#) [Y](#) [Z](#)

Literature

[A](#) [B](#) [C](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#) [G](#) [H](#) [I](#) [J](#) [K](#) [L](#) [M](#)
[N](#) [O](#) [P](#) [Q](#) [R](#) [S](#) [T](#) [U](#) [V](#) [W](#) [X](#) [Y](#) [Z](#)

[Terms of use](#) | [Copyright © 2011 Farlex, Inc.](#) | [Feedback](#) | [For webmasters](#) | [Submit articles](#)

THE MEDIA EQUATION

The Glut of Shows Unwatched

By DAVID CARR

Published: September 5, 2010

The great thing about modern technology is that you never have to miss anything on television. That's also the terrible thing about it.

Related

[Apple Faces Many Rivals for Streaming to TVs](#) (September 6, 2010)

[Drilling Down: Life Without a TV Set? Not Impossible](#) (September 6, 2010)

Last Sunday, I was traveling and did not see "Mad Men." As someone who cares about being in the know, when I got back on Monday, I wanted to catch up on the episode. Because I spend time on [Twitter](#), I already knew that the episode included a creative session conducted in the nude, so I

wanted to see it for myself before I came across other spoilers.

Having set my DVR — I subscribe to the FiOS television service from [Verizon](#) — for just such a circumstance, my wife and I plopped down on Monday night for a little time with Don and Peggy. I hit play, and then the screen went blank. After several more attempts, I called in the household's chief technology officer.

"You recorded the high-def channel," said my 13-year-old daughter Maddie, adding that seeing as I own a cheap set from Costco, it wasn't going to play.

Check, but not checkmate. Verizon has an on-demand service, but as it turns out "Mad Men" doesn't show up for a few days. Starting to feel desperate, I thought for a moment about hopping on the laptop and searching BitTorrent for an illegal copy, but given that I make a living creating original content for a large media company, stealing from another one did not seem like a good idea.

Then I remembered iTunes. Right there for \$2.99, Season 4, Episode 6, "Waldorf Stories." As I took the [iPad](#) downstairs to put it closer to the wireless signal, I told my wife it was going to take about 30 minutes to download. When I got back upstairs, she was already asleep and I shrugged and settled in for a little me time with the Mad Men. I woke up in the middle of the night with the iPad perilously balanced on my less-than-flat midsection, wondering what I had missed.

That was Monday. By Wednesday, [Steve Jobs](#), the sensei of all consumer desires, had announced the resurrection of [Apple TV](#). For \$99, I could buy a new gee-gaw from Apple that would allow me to rent, not buy, television shows for 99 cents that would play on devices that won't fit on my stomach, like big flat-screen televisions. (Then again, for the time being only Fox and ABC are doing television business with Apple, so it would not have ended my search for "Mad Men.")

Apple is hardly alone. [Amazon](#), [Netflix](#) and [Google](#) are getting in the television game. And all of them want to make sure that I have the means to dial up the programming I want at a time of my choosing on a device of my selection. Everyone wants to make sure

RECOMMEND

TWITTER

LINKEDIN

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL

PRINT

REPRINTS

SHARE



Log in to see what your friends are sharing on nytimes.com. [Privacy Policy](#) | [What's This?](#)

Log In With Facebook

What's Popular Now

[Panic of the Plutocrats](#)



[Dennis Ritchie, Programming Trailblazer, Dies at 70](#)



Get DealBook by E-Mail



MOST E-MAILED

MOST VIEWED

1. OPINION [How Medicare Fails the Elderly](#)



2. OPINION [Notes From a Dragon Mom](#)



3. OP-ED COLUMNIST [America's 'Primal Scream'](#)



4. OP-ED COLUMNIST [A Progressive in the Age of Austerity](#)



5. OP-ED COLUMNIST [A Farewell to Macho](#)



6. [Senegal Curbs a Bloody Rite for Girls and Women](#)

that I never miss a thing.

But maybe I should. Television, which was once the brain-dead part of the day, had become one more thing that required time, attention and taste. I have fond memories of the days when there were only three networks and I could let my mind go slack as I half-watched Diane and Sam circle each other on "Cheers," because that was pretty much the only thing on.

Did watching those shows raise my cultural I.Q. or put me in the thick of social media discussions over whether Snooki was actually the author of her own place in the cultural narrative? Um, no. But neither did it turn me into a cool hunter, worried about missing something, or a technologist, juggling devices and platforms the minute I got home.

In the dawning era of an always-on database of television, even shows I missed on purpose now find me. It was always a source of iconoclastic pride that I never saw a single episode of "Seinfeld" or "Friends" back when they were in their prime, but in the era of multiplying channels and ubiquitous choices, those shows have now hunted me down.

The media world today is less the paradox of choice than the inundation by options. Right now, waiting patiently next to my television, I have "The Girl With the Dragon Tattoo," "Sin Nombre" and "Sunshine Cleaning." The latter two movies have been sitting there for months, and I can't remember the last time I used the DVD player for something not related to work.

My DVR is groaning at 79 percent of capacity, including that episode of "Deadliest Catch" from two months ago in which the captain dies. I ordered up episodes of "The Good Wife" for my iPad after hearing about it from friends and seeing that it got lots of Emmy nominations, but when I settled in on a long airplane ride to catch up, some guilty time with "Hot Tub Time Machine" got in the way.

That both recent and ancient television is, or will soon be, a few clicks away just adds to a buffet of media of all types I can't possibly finish. My iTunes library would not fit on my new iPad because I have about 75 gigabytes of music, 20,000 songs or so, many of which I have yet to hear.

Our ability to produce media has outstripped our ability to consume it. The average photograph now gets looked at less than once simply because there is almost zero cost and effort to producing one.

And gone now is the guilty pleasure of simply staring at something mildly entertaining. We don't watch TV anymore as much as it seems to watch us, recommending, recording and dishing up all manner of worthy product. Yes, it's the New Golden Age of Television, but I miss the old idiot box. It made me feel less stupid.

E-mail: carr@nytimes.com;
twitter.com/carr2n

A version of this article appeared in print on September 6, 2010, on page B1 of the New York edition.

Advertise across the globe -
The New York Times Global
www.nytimesglobal.com

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL

PRINT

REPRINTS



WATCH TRAILER



7. Online Banking Keeps Customers on Hook for Fees



8. In California, Going All Out to Bid Adieu to Foie Gras




9. Amazon Signs Up Authors, Writing Publishers Out of Deal



10. The Lease Is Up, and Now, So Is the Rent

[Go to Complete List »](#)

[Show My Recommendations](#)



Paradise or prison?

ALSO IN VIDEO »

36 seconds in Portland, Ore.

36 seconds in Lower Manhattan

nytimes.com

VIDEO



Ads by Google

what's this?

[Watch Full Episodes](#)

Turn Your Computer into a TV! Watch Full TV Episodes Online.
www.TelevisionFanatic.com

[DIRECTV® Official Site](#)

The Best Offer Ever! 210Ch + Free HD. NFL SUNDAY TICKET™ Now Included
www.directv.com

[Fuze Meeting - Free Trial](#)

Multi-party HD video conferencing. 120 free audio minutes. Try now!
www.fuzemeeting.com

[Try AdWords Express](#)

Get your ad online locally in mins. Sign up for \$75 in advertising now
Google.com/awexpress

[North Virginia Colocation](#)

\$995/mo 100Mbps unmetered bandwidth Quality data centers. Save now
www.ashburncolocation.com

[Community Health Award](#)

Know Someone Making A Difference? Nominate A Community Health Leader!
www.RWJLeaders.org/CommunityHealth

[Advertise on NYTimes.com](#)

Ads by Google

what's this?

[Area's #1 Watch Buyer](#)

Specializing in Fine Timepieces

40 yrs. exp., Immediate Payment
www.DiamondExchangeUSA.com

Get Free E-mail Alerts on These Topics

Television

Digital Video Recorders

Computers and the Internet

DVD (Digital Versatile Disc)

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM



T MAGAZINE »



Now Online | Winter Women's Fashion 2011

OPINION »



The Stone: Jokes and Their Relation to Crisis

ARTS »



When Applying the Paint Was Spreading the News

U.S. »



Sacred Whale Hunt Endures

OPINION »

Op-Ed: Mea (Totally Sincere, if Overdue) Culpa
A long-lost father resurfaces. Or does he?


N.Y. / REGION »



Pleasant Surprise for East River Ferries: Crowds

[Home](#) | [World](#) | [U.S.](#) | [N.Y. / Region](#) | [Business](#) | [Technology](#) | [Science](#) | [Health](#) | [Sports](#) | [Opinion](#) | [Arts](#) | [Style](#) | [Travel](#) | [Jobs](#) | [Real Estate](#) | [Autos](#) | [Site Map](#)

© 2011 The New York Times Company | [Privacy](#) | [Your Ad Choices](#) | [Terms of Service](#) | [Terms of Sale](#) | [Corrections](#) | [RSS](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Work for Us](#) | [Advertise](#)



STAT OWL

HOME NEWS JOIN

Select a Report

Web Browser Market Share

Web Browser usage analysis and market penetration statistics

This report displays information on web browser market share and usage (also known as "browser wars"), the spread of the browser, the amount of market penetration achieved by browser vendors (Internet Explorer, Firefox, Safari, and Google Chrome). We only list browsers that have surpassed at least 0.1% of the market share during the selected time period.

You can view this report using trend charting by visiting the [Web Browser Market Share Trend](#) page.

LINK THIS REPORT!

CURIOUS HOW YOUR SITE COMPARES?

Find out more about our [Account Opt. Service!](#)

Report Filters
Click here to drill-down to find the information you are looking for!

DATE RANGE	PERIOD	OS/PL	OS/PL	OPERATING SYSTEM	SEARCH ENGINE	LOCATION
Oct 10 - Sep 11	Monthly	32 Bit	All Browsers	All Operating Systems	All Search Engines	All Connections

Web Browser Market Share

Browser	Market Share
Internet Explorer	80.21%
Firefox	20.11%
Chrome	0.07%
Opera	0.49%
Safari	0.71%

Monday, October 17, 2011 10:44 AM

Web Browser Market Share

www.statowl.com/web_browser_market_share.php?l=1&timeframe=last_3&interval=monthly&chart=1&filter_browser=6&filter_os=6&filter_country=6&timeframe=last_12

Most visited | Nothing Started | Latest Headlines | IP Address Location of ...

Instant Alerts for Website Performance **AlertSite** Sign-up now for a FREE TRIAL

Report Drill-Downs
You may click any of the Browser results below to drill down to a more detailed report for that individual Browser. You can also click "Total" to view multiple browser data link.

BROWSER	PERCENT	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<input type="checkbox"/> Internet Explorer	59.31%	62.91%	62.59%	59.95%	61.28%	59.92%	60.27%	59.14%	57.51%	56.23%	55.26%	54.20%	53.14%
<input type="checkbox"/> Firefox	20.11%	20.11%	19.70%	20.14%	19.85%	19.63%	19.73%	20.15%	20.46%	20.85%	20.20%	20.20%	20.20%
<input type="checkbox"/> Chrome	9.97%	7.21%	7.53%	8.65%	8.81%	9.15%	9.21%	10.30%	11.05%	12.04%	12.50%	13.00%	13.50%
<input type="checkbox"/> Safari	9.71%	9.03%	9.40%	9.32%	9.40%	9.52%	9.52%	9.62%	9.58%	9.77%	10.58%	11.00%	11.50%
<input type="checkbox"/> Other	0.40%	0.30%	0.42%	0.52%	0.26%	0.30%	0.35%	0.31%	0.38%	0.62%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%
<input type="checkbox"/> Opera	0.41%	0.30%	0.37%	0.42%	0.39%	0.42%	0.42%	0.43%	0.49%	0.42%	0.42%	0.37%	0.37%

Report Details

Website Reference:
The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) is the international community that develops and maintains the World Wide Web. It is a not-for-profit organization that is responsible for the development and maintenance of the World Wide Web. It is a not-for-profit organization that is responsible for the development and maintenance of the World Wide Web. It is a not-for-profit organization that is responsible for the development and maintenance of the World Wide Web.

Related News:
Recent Chrome Traffic: Internet Explorer: Google: Safari: Browser: Market Share: News: (2 items) View more

Google Chrome: Market Share
Market Share: 9.97%
Google Chrome is a web browser developed by Google. It is based on the Chromium open-source project and is available for Windows, macOS, Linux, and Android. It is the most popular web browser in the world, with over 6 billion users as of 2021.

Internet Explorer: Market Share
Market Share: 59.31%
Internet Explorer is a web browser developed by Microsoft. It is based on the Trident rendering engine and is available for Windows and macOS. It is the most popular web browser in the world, with over 6 billion users as of 2021.


Firefox: Market Share
Market Share: 20.11%
Firefox is a web browser developed by Mozilla. It is based on the Gecko rendering engine and is available for Windows, macOS, Linux, and Android. It is the second most popular web browser in the world, with over 3 billion users as of 2021.

Chrome: Market Share
Market Share: 9.97%
Chrome is a web browser developed by Google. It is based on the Chromium open-source project and is available for Windows, macOS, Linux, and Android. It is the most popular web browser in the world, with over 6 billion users as of 2021.

Safari: Market Share
Market Share: 9.71%
Safari is a web browser developed by Apple. It is based on the WebKit rendering engine and is available for macOS, iOS, and iPadOS. It is the most popular web browser in the world, with over 1 billion users as of 2021.

Other: Market Share
Market Share: 0.40%
Other web browsers include Opera, Edge, and Brave. These browsers are less popular than the major ones, but they still have a significant user base.

Opera: Market Share
Market Share: 0.41%
Opera is a web browser developed by Opera Software. It is based on the Presto rendering engine and is available for Windows, macOS, Linux, and Android. It is the most popular web browser in the world, with over 1 billion users as of 2021.



Beginner's Guide

[Help](#) [Videos & Guides](#) [Beginner's Guide](#)

What is BitTorrent?

BitTorrent is the global standard for delivering high-quality files over the Internet. With an installed base of over 160 million clients worldwide, BitTorrent technology has turned conventional distribution economics on its head. The more popular a large video, audio or software file, the faster and cheaper it can be transferred with BitTorrent. The result is a better digital entertainment experience for everyone.

BitTorrent is a protocol (a set of rules and description of how to do things) allowing you to download files quickly by allowing people downloading the file to upload (distribute) parts of it at the same time. BitTorrent is often used for distribution of very large files, very popular files and files available for free, as it is a lot cheaper, faster and more efficient to distribute files using BitTorrent than a regular download.

BitTorrent Mainline is a client. A 'client' in this case is a computer program that follows the rules of a protocol. For example, HTTP (HyperText Transfer Protocol) is the protocol used to download web pages and other content - like this page - and your HTTP client (or browser) is the program you use to get those web pages. Some popular browsers include Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Safari, and Opera. To an extent, they all work the same way because they follow the same set of rules. The BitTorrent Mainline client will give you access to the world of content on the protocol in a lightweight, fast and reliable package.

How do I download files using BitTorrent?

Just like you need a URL like 'www.google.com' to go to a web site and download content, you need a 'torrent file', a small file that tells the BitTorrent client the necessary info to download the content you want. This is generally obtained from a torrent website. Many websites offer torrents as one method of downloading files. For example, [OpenOffice.org, a free alternative to Microsoft Office, can be downloaded using BitTorrent](#). Other sites, like [legaltorrents.com](#), offer torrents of all kinds of things - these sites are just repositories of torrents and usually don't actually create any of the content available. They're known as **indexes** or **trackers** - there is a subtle difference between the two. ([The Wikipedia article on BitTorrent trackers](#) explains the difference.)

Once you've obtained the torrent file from wherever, you simply need to import it into BitTorrent. There are several ways of doing this.

- Click **File** then **Add Torrent** in BitTorrent (or press CTRL+O) and locate the torrent file.
- Double-click the torrent file. (*Only works if you've associated .torrent files with BitTorrent - BitTorrent asks you if it should do this the first time you run it. If you clicked 'No', you can do this by going to*

FAQ

Videos & Guides

Beginner's Guide

[Send Files](#)

[BitTorrent Connection Guide](#)

[BitTorrent WebUI](#)

[Creating A Torrent](#)

[How To Make The PC - TV Connection](#)

[Using RSS Feeds](#)

Forums

User Manual

Options, then Preferences in BitTorrent, then clicking Associate with .torrent files under Windows Integration.)

- (advanced) Click **File** then **Add Torrent from URL** in BitTorrent (or press CTRL+U), and enter a URL from which the .torrent file can be obtained.

But before you start downloading, make sure you've followed the [BitTorrent Connection Guide](#).

It doesn't take long and will help ensure that your torrent experience is faster and more consistent.

BitTorrent finished downloading, but now it says it's Seeding. What does that mean?

Seeding is where you leave your BitTorrent client open after you've finished your download to help distribute it (you distribute the file *while* downloading, but it's even more helpful if you continue to distribute the full file even after you have finished downloading). Chances are that most of the data you got was from seeds, so help give back to the community! It doesn't require much - BitTorrent will continue seeding until the torrent is removed (right click the torrent, then hit **Remove**). Proper practice is to seed until the ratio of upload:download is at least 1.00.

Can I really download *anything*?

BitTorrent is purely a content distribution method, just like a web browser, and similarly, does not incorporate any technology to monitor or restrict your activity. There is also nothing in BitTorrent that prevents anyone from seeing your IP address. Take care to follow your country's laws concerning copyrighted content.

How do I know that someone isn't sending out viruses on BitTorrent?

In short, you don't. You should treat something downloaded with BitTorrent just like any file downloaded from the internet - that is, if you don't trust the source of the file, then you should use caution when opening it. If the torrent site you obtained it from offers comments, be sure to read those first. But regardless of the comments, running a virus scan on the downloaded files is usually a good idea. BitTorrent guarantees that the content you download is not altered from when the torrent was originally created, but if the source files used to create the torrent were already infected, this will provide no protection!

Where can I find out more?

This guide and the [User Manual](#) is a good place to start. There is also a lot of BitTorrent reference information available on the internet, and [searching for "bittorrent" on Google](#) is a good start. The following sites are particularly useful:

- [Brian's BitTorrent FAQ and Guide](#) - a great resource to all things BitTorrent, with far more info than this page, though some of it is a bit technical.
- [BitTorrent FAQ](#) - Provides a list of common questions and answers and solutions to a number of common problems.
- [BitTorrent User Manual](#) - The main documentation for BitTorrent. Explains everything related to the client. Press F1 while viewing the BitTorrent window, or go to Help -> BitTorrent Help.
- [The BitTorrent specification](#) - Technical information on the way BitTorrent works.

- [BitTorrent.org](#) - a forum for developers to exchange ideas about the direction of the BitTorrent protocol.

Like

24 likes. [Sign Up](#) to see what your friends like.

@BitTorrent

µTorrent 3.0 Beta just released! Streaming, ratings and sending...Blog: <http://bit.ly/eEiApm>, Download: <http://bit.ly/bPZECM>
View Tweet

BitTorrent Blog

You're Invited to an R&D Live Streaming Test This Friday!
View Post

Community Forums

µTorrent 3.1 alpha 25788
View Post

Free Download

Version 7.5 for Windows

[Other Platforms & Languages](#)

Get Started

[Find Torrents](#)
[Apps](#)

Company

[About BitTorrent](#)
[Jobs at BitTorrent](#)
[BitTorrent DNA](#)
[Technology Partners](#)

Help

[FAQs](#)
[Videos & Guides](#)
[Forums](#)
[User Manual](#)

Download

[Windows](#)
[Mac](#)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

DIGITAL SIN, INC.)	
21345 Lassen Street)	
Chatsworth, CA 91311)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. <u>4:11-cv-584</u>
)	PLAINTIFF'S
DOES 1 – 145)	FEDERAL RULE 7.1
)	DISCLOSURES
Defendants.)	

**DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATIONS
AND FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules for the District Court, and to enable Judges and Magistrate Judges to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal, Digital Sin, Inc., Plaintiff in the above captioned action, attests that there is no parent corporation, and that there is no publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock. No parent company, subsidiary or affiliate of Digital Sin, Inc. has any outstanding securities in the hands of the public.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of November, 2011.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

By: /s/ Terik Hashmi
Terik Hashmi, JD, LLM
(OH Bar – Supreme Court ID 0064329)
Transnational Law Group, LLC
429 Lenox Avenue, Suite 5C13
Miami Beach, FL 33139
Phone: (888) 731-8955
Fax: (305) 721-1685
Email:
terik.hashmi.esq@transnationallawgroup.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF